

June 18, 1964

Date _____

ROBERT PUGLIA, Chief Deputy District Attorney, Sacramento County, California, furnished the following information:

He stated that he has examined the files of his office concerning a letter reportedly furnished to NANCY PERRIN by his office dated October 25, 1963. He located a file copy of this letter. The letter, which was prepared on letterhead stationery of the District Attorney, Sacramento County, Room 204, Court House, Sacramento 14, California, reads as follows:

"TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

"Commencing July 12, 1963, and subsequent thereto, this office had occasion to use the services of Nancy Perrin in the matter of investigating and prosecuting an abortion case. Nancy Perrin gave the utmost cooperation to this office and the Oakland Police Department in the processing of this case and was very instrumental in bringing the matter to a successful conclusion. Nancy Perrin used excellent judgment in conducting her phase of the investigation and handled herself in the manner of an experienced investigator. She was a very effective witness on behalf of the prosecution.

"Based upon the above, it is the impression of the undersigned that Nancy Perrin has the qualifications and desire to be a success in the investigative field wherever her services can be used.

"/s/ OSCAR A. KISTLE
"Chief Deputy District Attorney."

Mr. PUGLIA stated that NANCY PERRIN assisted his office during 1963 in the investigation of a criminal case involving conspiracy to commit abortion. He explained that PERRIN had voluntarily reported having been in contact with one EDWARD O. DRUMMOND at Oakland, California, and that DRUMMOND was apparently involved in an abortion racket.

On 6/15/64 at Sacramento, California File # SF 44-494
by SA HAROLD V. CATES:csy ZB Date dictated 6/18/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

2

SF 44-494

WNK:csy

PERRIN was subsequently able to obtain information concerning DRUMMOND and NICK and WANDA BRANICH, husband and wife, residents of Sacramento, California. The investigation in which PERRIN played a role resulted in the conviction of DRUMMOND in Superior Court, Sacramento County, on October 21, 1963, for violation of Section 182, California Penal Code (Conspiracy to Commit Abortion). The charges against NICK and WANDA BRANICH mentioned above were dismissed.

NANCY PERRIN was paid for her services in this matter, the exact amount of payment was not known by Mr. PUGLIA. Mr. PUGLIA stated the files of his office include a letter dated October 25, 1963, which is a letter of transmittal for the above letter addressed "To Whom It May Concern." The letter of transmittal, signed by OSCAR A. KISTLE, Chief Deputy District Attorney, Sacramento County, sets forth information to the effect that NANCY PERRIN made a request for the letter which begins "To Whom It May Concern". Mr. PUGLIA stated that his department did not wish to hire NANCY PERRIN at the time these letters were written.

29

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date June 18, 1964

Lieutenant WALTER PARKER, Burglary Detail, Oakland, California Police Department, furnished the following information:

He stated that during October, 1963, NANCY PERRIN appeared at the Oakland Police Department seeking work. She produced a letter from the District Attorney, Sacramento County, California, addressed "To Whom It May Concern". She stated that she desired to engage in an undercover investigative assignment. At the time of this request by PERRIN, the Oakland Police Department was involved in an investigation concerning one JOHN GADON, owner of the Bandstand, a night club located at 466 - 12th Street, Oakland. It had been reported that GADON was a receiver of stolen property. PERRIN stated that she could obtain employment in the above mentioned night club and having obtained this employment would furnish information to the Oakland Police Department on a confidential basis.

In order to assist PERRIN in obtaining this job, Lieutenant PARKER arranged for her to be issued an identification card bearing the name JULIE ANN CODY, address 167 Harder Road, Hayward, California. The card also includes the identification number P-34571, and the date of issue as February 3, 1962.

Lieutenant PARKER stated that PERRIN was given this card after which she obtained employment as a cocktail waitress at the Bandstand. The owner of this establishment terminated her employment in approximately two weeks. PERRIN was unable to obtain any information of value to the Oakland Police Department during the period of this employment. She was given approximately \$7.00 a night for each night she worked at the Bandstand and was, therefore, a paid informer of the Oakland Police Department.

Lieutenant PARKER stated that there is no so-called "false police record" for PERRIN in the Oakland, California Police Department. He stated that during the period he had dealings with PERRIN, he came to the conclusion that she is emotionally unreliable. He specifically described PERRIN as a "screwball" and "nutty as a fruitcake". He advised that PERRIN reportedly volunteered her services to a number of local law

On 6/15/64 at Oakland, California File # SF 44-494
by SA RICHARD G. ALLEN:csy Date dictated 6/18/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

COMMISSION EXHIBIT No. 3058—Continued

2

SF 44-494
WNK:csy

enforcement agencies in the San Francisco-Oakland area. She made efforts to re-establish herself as a paid informer with the Oakland Police Department following the investigation involving the Bandstand mentioned above. Lieutenant PARKER stated that his department has had no official dealings with her since that time.

231

COMMISSION EXHIBIT No. 3058—Continued

1

SF 44-494
WNK:csyRe: Mrs. NANCY PERRIN RICH

By letter dated June 3, 1964, the General Counsel, President's Commission on the Assassination of President KENNEDY, in part advised Mr. J. EDGAR HOOVER, Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Washington, D. C., as follows:

On June 2, 1964, NANCY PERRIN, now the wife of FRANCIS L. RICH, whom she married on April 11, 1964, was deposed by a member of the Commission staff. During the course of testimony, Mrs. NANCY PERRIN RICH produced a letter dated October 25, 1963, addressed "To Whom It May Concern", and signed by "OSCAR A. KISTLE, Chief Deputy District Attorney". The complete text of this letter is set forth under the interview with ROBERT PUGLIA.

The Commission expressed its desire to know whether the above document is authentic, and if so, the circumstances under which it was given to Mrs. RICH.

The Commission letter mentioned above further advised that during the course of her testimony, Mrs. RICH produced a card bearing the name JULIE ANN CODY. She informed the Commission that this card was given to her by the Police Department of the city of Oakland, California, in order that she could do some undercover work for this department. In addition, she stated that there is a false police record in the Oakland Police Department under the name JULIE ANN CODY, made up in order to get her to a "particular place".

AT OAKLAND AND SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA:

232

COMMISSION EXHIBIT No. 3058—Continued

June 18, 1964

Date _____

Sergeant CARL DAHL, Commanding Officer, Intelligence Section, Oakland, California Police Department, advised as follows:

He stated that he remembers the case involving EDWARD O. DRUMMOND and the participation in this investigation by NANCY PERRIN. He stated that sometime during September or October, 1963, the Oakland Police Department received a telephone call from the office of the District Attorney, Sacramento County, Sacramento. The Oakland authorities were informed that EDWARD O. DRUMMOND was suspect in a conspiracy to commit abortion. They were also told that DRUMMOND was believed to be in Oakland, and further that NANCY PERRIN, who had volunteered her services to the District Attorney, might be in a position to obtain evidence concerning DRUMMOND. Based on this information, officers of the Oakland Police Department assisted PERRIN in obtaining the desired information.

Following the above incident, PERRIN appeared on frequent occasions at the Oakland Police Department, according to Sergeant DAHL. She volunteered her services to this department. She also volunteered "fanciful" information regarding organized criminal activity in Oakland, California. Sergeant DAHL stated that this information had no substance and was apparently a product of PERRIN's imagination. He recalled that PERRIN made statements to the effect that she was personally acquainted with various individuals prominent in the entertainment field and prominently mentioned in connection with national criminal activity. He advised that he would place little credence in anything furnished by PERRIN. This opinion was based upon his conversations with her subsequent to the investigation involving EDWARD O. DRUMMOND. He advised that in retrospect, he must consider PERRIN to be emotionally unstable.

On 6/16/64 at Oakland, California File # SF 44-494
by SA WILLIAM N. KIDWELL, JR.:csy Date dictated 6/18/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

COMMISSION EXHIBIT No. 3058—Continued

12/6/63

Date _____

1
Mr. CY VICTORSON, 9614 Charming, was interviewed at his office, Suite 136, Fidelity Union Tower, at which time he furnished the following information:

VICTORSON is an Attorney at Law and represented NANCY ELAINE PERRIN when she was arrested for vagrancy in August, 1961. VICTORSON did not know PERRIN on a social basis, but described her as being an habitual liar, who found it very difficult to tell the truth. PERRIN was continually telling wild tales concerning her exploits or concerning the exploits of others. These stories were so ridiculous that no one could possibly believe them.

VICTORSON, during the past several years, has represented JACK RUBY in several civil matters and, as his attorney, became quite well acquainted with RUBY, although he knew nothing concerning RUBY's personal life or political beliefs. VICTORSON felt RUBY was the type of person who had intents, likes and dislikes, and felt it possible that he could have shot OSWALD for patriotic reasons.

VICTORSON knows of RUBY having no Cuban connections, with the exception of his friendship with an American by the name of MC WILLY, who operated a gambling establishment in Havana, Cuba, prior to the time FIDEL CASTRO ran all the American gamblers out of Cuba. On one occasion during 1959 or 1960, RUBY made a trip to Cuba to visit MC WILLY.

VICTORSON knows of no U. S. Army Colonel or, for that matter, anyone connected with the U. S. Army who was associated with JACK RUBY in any manner.

on 12/4/63 at Dallas, Texas File # DL 44-1639
by Special Agent S. JOSEPH G. PEGGS & ALVIN ZIMMERMAN/eah Date dictated 12/6/63

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

COMMISSION EXHIBIT No. 3059