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Mr. Stokes, from the Select Committee on Assassinations, submitted the following

REPORT
I. Findings of the Select Committee on Assassinations in the Assassination of President John F. Kennedy in Dallas, Tex., November 22, 1963

A. Lee Harvey Oswald fired three shots at President John F. Kennedy. The second and third shots fired struck the President. The third shot he fired killed the President.

1. President Kennedy was struck by two rifle shots fired from behind him.

2. The shots that struck President Kennedy from behind him were fired from the sixth floor window of the southeast corner of the Texas School Book Depository building.

3. Lee Harvey Oswald owned the rifle that was used to fire the shots from the sixth floor window of the southeast corner of the Texas School Book Depository building.

4. Lee Harvey Oswald, shortly before the assassination, had excess to and was present on the sixth floor of the Texas School Book Depository building.

5. Lee Harvey Oswald's other actions tend to support the conclusion that he assassinated President Kennedy.

B. Scientific acoustical evidence establishes a high probability that two gunmen fired at President John F. Kennedy. Other scientific evidence does not preclude the possibility of two gunmen firing at the President. Scientific evidence negates some specific conspiracy allegations.

C. The committee believes, on the basis of the evidence available to it, that President John F. Kennedy was probably assassinated as a result of a conspiracy. The committee is unable to identify the other gunman or the extent of the conspiracy.

1. The committee believes, on the basis of the evidence available to it, that the Soviet Government was not involved in the assassination of President Kennedy.

2. The committee believes, on the basis of the evidence available to it, that the Cuban Government was not involved in the assassination of President Kennedy.

3. The committee believes, on the basis of the evidence available to it, that anti-Castro Cuban groups, as groups, were not involved in the assassination of President Kennedy, but that the available evidence does not preclude the possibility that individual members may have been involved.

4. The committee believes, on the basis of the evidence available to it, that the national syndicate of organized crime, as a group, was not involved in the assassination of President Kennedy, but that the available evidence does not preclude the possibility that individual members may have been involved.

5. The Secret Service, Federal Bureau of Investigation, and Central Intelligence Agency, were not involved in the assassination of President Kennedy.
D. Agencies and departments of the U.S. Government performed with varying degrees of competency in the fulfillment of their duties. President John F. Kennedy did not receive adequate protection. A thorough and reliable investigation into the responsibility of Lee Harvey Oswald for the assassination of President John F. Kennedy was conducted. The investigation into the possibility of conspiracy in the assassination was inadequate. The conclusions of the investigations were arrived at in good faith, but presented in a fashion that was too definitive.

1. The Secret Service was deficient in the performance of its duties.
   
   (a) The Secret Service possessed information that was not properly analyzed, investigated or used by the Secret Service in connection with the President’s trip to Dallas; in addition, Secret Service agents in the motorcade were inadequately prepared to protect the President from a sniper.
   
   (b) The responsibility of the Secret Service to investigate the assassination was terminated when the Federal Bureau of Investigation assumed primary investigative responsibility.

2. The Department of Justice failed to exercise initiative in supervising and directing the investigation by the Federal Bureau of Investigation of the assassination.

3. The Federal Bureau of Investigation performed with varying degrees of competency in the fulfillment of its duties.
   
   (a) The Federal Bureau of Investigation adequately investigated Lee Harvey Oswald prior to the assassination and properly evaluated the evidence it possessed to assess his potential to endanger the public safety in a national emergency.
   
   (b) The Federal Bureau of Investigation conducted a thorough and professional investigation into the responsibility of Lee Harvey Oswald for the assassination.
   
   (c) The Federal Bureau of Investigation failed to investigate adequately the possibility of a conspiracy to assassinate the President.
   
   (d) The Federal Bureau of Investigation was deficient in its sharing of information with other agencies and departments.

4. The Central Intelligence Agency was deficient in its collection and sharing of information both prior to and subsequent to the assassination.

5. The Warren Commission performed with varying degrees of competency in the fulfillment of its duties.
   
   (a) The Warren Commission conducted a thorough and professional investigation into the responsibility of Lee Harvey Oswald for the assassination.
   
   (b) The Warren Commission failed to investigate adequately the possibility of a conspiracy to assassinate the President. This deficiency was attributable in part to the failure of the Commission to receive all the relevant information that was in the possession of other agencies and departments of the Government.

H.R. 1828
(c) The Warren Commission arrived at its conclusions, based on the evidence available to it, in good faith.

(d) The Warren Commission presented the conclusions in its report in a fashion that was too definitive.

II. FINDINGS OF THE SELECT COMMITTEE ON ASSASSINATIONS IN THE ASSASSINATION OF DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR., IN MEMPHIS, TENN., APRIL 4, 1968

A. James Earl Ray fired one shot at Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. The shot killed Dr. King.

1. Dr. King was killed by one rifle shot fired from in front of him.

2. The shot that killed Dr. King was fired from the bathroom window at the rear of a rooming house at 422½ South Main Street, Memphis, Tenn.

3. James Earl Ray purchased the rifle that was used to shoot Dr. King and transported it from Birmingham, Ala. to Memphis, Tenn., where he rented a room at 422½ South Main Street and moments after the assassination, he dropped it near 424 South Main Street.

4. It is highly probable that James Earl Ray stalked Dr. King for a period immediately preceding the assassination.

5. James Earl Ray fled the scene of the crime immediately after the assassination.

6. James Earl Ray's alibi for the time of the assassination, his story of "Raoul", and other allegedly exculpatory evidence are not worthy of belief.

7. James Earl Ray knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily pleaded guilty to the first degree murder of Dr. King.

B. The committee believes, on the basis of the circumstantial evidence available to it, that there is a likelihood that James Earl Ray assassinated Dr. Martin Luther King as a result of a conspiracy.

C. The committee believes, on the basis of the evidence available to it, that no private organizations or individuals, other than those discussed under section B, were involved in the assassination of Dr. King.

D. No Federal, State or local government agency was involved in the assassination of Dr. King.

E. The Department of Justice and the Federal Bureau of Investigation performed with varying degrees of competency and legality in the fulfillment of their duties.

1. The Department of Justice failed to supervise adequately the Domestic Intelligence Division of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. In addition, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, in the Domestic Intelligence Division's COINTELPRO campaign against Dr. King, grossly abused and exceeded its legal authority and failed to consider the possibility that actions threatening bodily harm to Dr. King might be encouraged by the program.

2. The Department of Justice and Federal Bureau of Investigation performed a thorough investigation into the responsibility of James Earl Ray for the assassination of Dr. King, and conducted a thorough fugitive investigation, but failed to investigate ade-
quately the possibility of conspiracy in the assassination. The Federal Bureau of Investigation manifested a lack of concern for constitutional rights in the manner in which it conducted parts of the investigation.

III. Recommendations of the Select Committee on Assassinations

I. Legislative Recommendations on Issues Involving the Prohibition, Prevention and Prosecution of Assassinations and Federally Cognizable Homicides

A. Prohibition and prevention—

1. The Judiciary Committee should process for early consideration by the House legislation that would make the assassination of a Chief of State of any country, or his political equivalent, a Federal offense, if the offender is an American citizen or acts on behalf of an American citizen, or if the offender can be located in the United States.

2. The Judiciary Committee should process for early consideration by the House comprehensive legislation that would codify, revise and reform the Federal law of homicide, paying special attention to assassinations. The Judiciary Committee should give appropriate attention to the related offenses of conspiracy, attempt, assault and kidnapping in the context of assassinations. Such legislation should be processed independently of the general proposals for the codification, revision or reform of the Federal criminal law. The committee should address the following issues in considering the legislation:

   (a) Distinguishing between those persons who should receive the protection of Federal law because of the official positions they occupy and those persons who should receive protection of Federal law only in the performance of their official duties,

   (b) Extending the protection of Federal law to persons who occupy high judicial and executive positions, including Justices of the Supreme Court and Cabinet officers,

   (c) The applicability of these laws to private individuals in the exercise of constitutional rights,

   (d) The penalty to be provided for homicide and the related offenses, including the applicability and the constitutionality of the death penalty,

   (e) The basis for the exercise of Federal jurisdiction, including domestic and extraterritorial reach,

   (f) The preemption of State jurisdiction without the necessity of any action on the part of the Attorney General where the President is assassinated,

   (g) The circumstances under which federal jurisdiction should preempt State jurisdiction in other cases,

   (h) The power of Federal investigative agencies to require autopsies to be performed,

   (i) The ability of Federal investigative agencies to secure the assistance of other Federal or State agencies, including the military, other laws notwithstanding.
(j) The authority to offer rewards to apprehend the perpetrators of the crime,

(k) A requirement of forfeiture of the instrumentalities of the crime,

(l) The condemnation of personal or other effects of historical interest,

(m) The advisability of providing, consistent with the first amendment, legal trust devices to hold for the benefit of victims, their families, or the General Treasury, the profits realized from books, movie rights, or public appearances by the perpetrator of the crime, and

(n) The applicability of threat and physical zone of protection legislation to persons under the physical protection of Federal investigative or law enforcement agencies.

3. The appropriate committees of the House should process for early consideration by the House charter legislation for the Central Intelligence Agency and Federal Bureau of Investigation. The committees should address the following issues in considering the charter legislation:

(a) The proper foreign and domestic intelligence functions of the intelligence and investigative agencies of the United States,

(b) The relationship between the domestic intelligence functions and the interference with the exercise of individual constitutional rights,

(c) The delineation of proper law enforcement functions and techniques including: (i) the use of informants and electronic surveillance, (ii) guidelines to circumscribe the use of informants or electronic surveillance to gather intelligence on, or investigate, groups that may be exercising first amendment freedoms, and (iii) the proper response of intelligence or investigative agencies where information is developed that an informant has committed a crime,

(d) Guidelines to consider the circumstances, if any, when an investigative agency or a component of that agency should be disqualified from taking an active role in an investigation because of an appearance of impropriety growing out of a particular intelligence or investigative action,

(e) Definitions of the legislative scope and extent of "sources and methods" and the "informant privilege" as a rationale for the executive branch withholding information in response to congressional or judicial process or other demand for information,

(f) Institutionalizing efforts to coordinate the gathering, sharing, and analysis of intelligence information,

(g) Insuring those agencies that primarily gather intelligence perform their function so as to serve the needs of other agencies that primarily engage in physical protection, and

(h) Implementing mechanisms that would permit inter-agency tasking of particular functions.

B. Prosecution—

1. The Judiciary Committee should consider the impact of the provisions of law dealing with third-party records, bail and speedy
trial as it applies to both the investigation and prosecution of federally cognizable homicides.

2. The Judiciary Committee should examine recently passed special prosecutor legislation to determine if its provisions should be modified to extend them to presidential assassinations and the circumstances, if any, under which they should be applicable to other federally cognizable homicides.

II. Administrative Recommendations to the Executive

The Department of Justice should reexamine its contingency plans for the handling of assassinations and federally cognizable homicides in light of the record and findings of the committee. Such an examination should consider the following issues:

A. Insuring that its response takes full advantage of inter- and intraagency task forces and the strike force approach to investigations and prosecutions.

B. Insuring that its response takes full advantage of the advances of science and technology, and determining when it should secure independent panels of scientists to review or perform necessary scientific tasks, or secure qualified independent forensic pathologists to perform a forensic autopsy.

C. Insuring that its fair trial/free press guidelines, consistent with an alleged offender's right to a fair trial, allow information about the facts and circumstances surrounding an assassination promptly be made public, and promptly be corrected when erroneous information is mistakenly released, and

D. Entering at the current time into negotiations with representatives of the media to secure voluntary agreements providing that photographs, audio tapes, television tapes and related matters, made in and around the site of assassinations, be made available to the Government by consent immediately following an assassination.

III. General Recommendations for Congressional Investigations

A. The appropriate committee of the House should consider amending the Rules of the House to provide for a right to appointive counsel in investigative hearings where a witness is unable to provide counsel from private funds.

B. The appropriate committees of the House should examine the Rules of the House governing the conduct of counsel in legislative and investigative hearings and consider delineating guidelines for professional conduct and ethics, including guidelines to deal with conflicts of interest in the representation of multiple witnesses before a committee.

C. The Judiciary Committee should examine the adequacy of Federal law as it provides for the production Federal and State prisoners before legislative or investigative committees under a writ of habeas corpus ad testificandum.

D. The appropriate committees of the House should examine and clarify the applicability to congressional subpoenas of recently enacted legislative restrictions on access to records and other documents.

E. The appropriate committees of the House should consider legislation that would authorize the establishment of a legislative counsel to conduct litigation on behalf of committees of the House incident to
the investigative or legislative activities and confer jurisdiction on the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia to hear such lawsuits.

F. appropriate committees of the House should consider if rule II of the House should be amended, so as to restrict the current access by all Member of the House to the classified information in the possession of any committee.

IV. Recommendations for Further Investigation

A. The Department of Justice should contract for the examination of a film taken by Charles L. Bronson to determine its significance, if any, to the assassination of President Kennedy.

B. The National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice of the Department of Justice and the National Science Foundation should make a study of the theory and application of the principles of acoustics to forensic questions, using the materials available in the assassination of President John F. Kennedy as a case study.

C. The Department of Justice should review the committee's findings and report the assassinations of President John F. Kennedy and Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., and after completion of the recommended investigation enumerated in sections A and B, analyze whether further official investigation is warranted in either case. The Department of Justice should report its analysis to the Judiciary Committee.
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| November 1963: A trip to Texas                     | 35   |

#### A. Lee Harvey Oswald fired three shots at President John F. Kennedy.

The second and third shots he fired struck the President. The third shot he fired killed the President.

1. President Kennedy was struck by two rifle shots fired from behind him:

   - **(a) Reliance on scientific analysis**
     - (1) The medical evidence
     - (2) Reaction times and alinement
     - (3) Neutron activation analysis
     - (4) Photographic evidence
     - (5) Acoustical evidence and blur analysis

2. The shots that struck President Kennedy from behind were fired from the sixth floor window of the southeast corner of the Texas School Book Depository building:

   - **(a) Scientific analysis**
     - (1) Trajectory analysis
     - (2) Photographic evidence
     - (b) Witness testimony
     - (c) Firearms evidence
     - (d) Summary of the evidence

3. Lee Harvey Oswald owned the rifle that was used to fire the shots from the sixth floor window of the southeast corner of the Texas School Book Depository building:

   - **(a) Biography of Lee Harvey Oswald**
   - (b) The committee's approach
     - (1) Handwriting analysis
     - (2) The backyard photographs

(\(\forall\))
### VI

**I. Findings of the Select Committee on Assassinations—Continued**

**A. Lee Harvey Oswald fired three shots—Continued**

4. Lee Harvey Oswald, shortly before the assassination, had access to and was present on the sixth floor of the Texas School Book Depository building.  
   (a) Testimony of book depository employees  
   (b) Physical evidence of Oswald's presence  
   (c) Oswald's whereabouts  
   1. Lovelady or Oswald?  
   2. Witness testimony

5. Lee Harvey Oswald's other actions tend to support the conclusion that he assassinated President Kennedy.  
   (a) The Tippit murder  
   (b) Oswald: A capacity for violence?  
   (c) The motive

**B. Scientific acoustical evidence establishes a high probability that two gunmen fired at President John F. Kennedy. Other scientific evidence does not preclude the possibility of two gunmen firing at the President. Scientific evidence negates some specific conspiracy allegations.**
   (a) Warren Commission analysis of a tape  
   (b) Dallas Police Department recordings  
   1. Analysis by Bolt Beranek and Newman  
   2. Weiss-Aschkenasy analysis  
   3. Search for a motorcycle  
   (c) Other evidence with respect to the shots  
   (d) Witness testimony on the shots

   1. Analysis of the reliability of witness testimony
   (e) Certain conspiracy allegations  
   (f) Summary of the evidence

**C. The committee believes, on the basis of the evidence available to it, that President John F. Kennedy was probably assassinated as a result of a conspiracy. The committee was unable to identify the other gunmen or the extent of the conspiracy.**

1. The committee believes, on the basis of the evidence available to it, that the Soviet Government was not involved in the assassination of President Kennedy.  
   (a) United States-Soviet relations  
   (b) The Warren Commission investigation  
   (c) The committee’s investigation  
   1. Oswald in the U.S.S.R.  
   2. Treatment of defectors by the Soviet Government  
   3. Yuri Nosenko  
   4. Opinions of other defectors  
   5. Marina Oswald  
   6. Response of the Soviet Government

   (d) Summary of the evidence

2. The committee believes, on the basis of the evidence available to it, that the Cuban Government was not involved in the assassination of President Kennedy.  
   (a) United States-Cuban relations  
   1. Bay of Pigs  
   2. Cuban missile crisis  
   (b) Earlier investigations of Cuban complicity  
   1. The Warren Commission investigation  
   2. The U.S. Senate investigation  
   3. The CIA’s response to the Senate

---

Page references are included for each section and subsection.
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3. The committee believes, on the basis of the evidence available to it, that anti-Castro Cuban groups, as groups, were not involved in the assassination of President Kennedy, but that the available evidence does not preclude the possibility that individual members may have been involved.
(a) The anti-Castro Cuban perspective
(1) The missile crisis and its aftermath
(2) Attitude of anti-Castro Cubans toward Kennedy

(b) The committee investigation
(1) Homer S. Echevarria
(2) Antonio Veciana Blanch
(3) Silvia Odio

(c) Oswald and anti-Castro Cubans
(1) Oswald in New Orleans
(2) Oswald in Clinton, La
(3) David Ferrie
(4) 544 Camp Street
(5) A committee analysis of Oswald in New Orleans
(6) Summary of the evidence

4. The committee believes, on the basis of the evidence available to it, that the national syndicate of organized crime, as a group, was not involved in the assassination of President Kennedy, but that the available evidence does not preclude the possibility that individual members may have been involved.
(a) The Warren Commission investigation
(b) The committee investigation
(1) Ruby and organized crime
(2) Ruby and the Dallas Police Department
(3) Other evidence relating to Ruby
(4) Involvement of organized crime
(5) Analysis of the 1963–64 investigation
(6) Carlos Marcello
(7) Santos Trafficante
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(c) Summary and analysis of the evidence

5. The Secret Service, Federal Bureau of Investigation, and Central Intelligence Agency were not involved in the assassination of President Kennedy.
(a) The Secret Service
(1) Connally testimony
(2) Choice of the motorcade route
(3) Allegation a Secret Service agent was on the grassy knoll
(4) Conclusion
VIII

I. Findings of the Select Committee on Assassinations—Continued

C. The committee—Continued

5. The Secret Service, FBI—Continued

(b) The Federal Bureau of Investigation

(1) Early rumors that Oswald was an informant

(2) The Hosty entry in Oswald's address book

(3) FBI contacts with Oswald (Fort Worth, 1962)

(4) FBI contacts with Oswald (New Orleans, 1963)

(5) FBI contacts with Oswald (Dallas, 1963)

(6) The destruction of Oswald's note

(7) Conclusion

(c) The Central Intelligence Agency

(1) CIA personnel in the Soviet Russia Division

(2) CIA personnel abroad

(3) Oswald's CIA file

(4) Why the delay in opening Oswald's 201 file?

(5) Why was he carried as Lee Henry Oswald in his 201 file?

(6) The meaning of "AG" under "Other identification" in Oswald's 201 file

(7) Why was Oswald's 201 file restricted?

(8) Were 37 documents missing from Oswald's 201 file?

(9) Did the CIA maintain a dual filing system on Oswald?

(10) Did Oswald ever participate in a CIA counterintelligence project?

(11) Did the CIA ever debrief Oswald?

(12) The Justice Department's failure to prosecute Oswald

(13) Oswald's trip to Russia via Helsinki and his ability to obtain a visa in 2 days

(14) Oswald's contact with Americans in the Soviet Union

(15) Alleged intelligence contacts after Oswald returned from Russia

(16) Alleged intelligence implications of Oswald's military service

(17) Oswald's military intelligence file

(18) The Oswald photograph in Office of Naval Intelligence files

(19) Oswald in Mexico City

Conclusion

D. Agencies and departments of the U.S. Government performed with varying degrees of competency in the fulfillment of their duties. President John F. Kennedy did not receive adequate protection. A thorough and reliable investigation into the responsibility of Lee Harvey Oswald for the assassination was conducted. The investigation into the possibility of conspiracy in the assassination was inadequate. The conclusions of the investigations were arrived at in good faith, but presented in a fashion that was too definitive.

1. The Secret Service was deficient in the performance of its duties.
I. Findings of the Select Committee on Assassinations—Continued

D. Agencies and departments—Continued

1. The Secret Service—Continued

(a) The Secret Service possessed information that was not properly analyzed, investigated, or used by the Secret Service in connection with the President's trip to Dallas; in addition, Secret Service agents in the motorcade were inadequately prepared to protect the President from a sniper.

(b) The responsibility of the Secret Service to investigate the assassination was terminated when the Federal Bureau of Investigation assumed primary investigative responsibility.

2. The Department of Justice failed to exercise initiative in supervising and directing the investigation by the Federal Bureau of Investigation in the assassination.

3. The Federal Bureau of Investigation performed with varying degrees of competency in the fulfillment of its duties.

(a) The Federal Bureau of Investigation adequately investigated Lee Harvey Oswald prior to the assassination and properly evaluated the evidence it possessed to assess his potential to endanger the public safety in a national emergency.

(b) The Federal Bureau of Investigation conducted a thorough and professional investigation into the responsibility of Lee Harvey Oswald for the assassination.

(c) The Federal Bureau of Investigation failed to investigate adequately the possibility of a conspiracy to assassinate the President.

(d) The Federal Bureau of Investigation was deficient in its sharing of information with other agencies and departments.

4. The Central Intelligence Agency was deficient in its collection and sharing of information both prior to and subsequent to the assassination.

(a) Establishment of the CIA

(b) Rockefeller Commission investigation of CIA activities

(c) The committee investigation

5. The Warren Commission performed with varying degrees of competency in the fulfillment of its duties.

(a) The Warren Commission conducted a thorough and professional investigation into the responsibility of Lee Harvey Oswald for the assassination.
I. Findings of the Select Committee on Assassinations—Continued

D. Agencies and departments—Continued

5. The Warren Commission—Continued

(b) The Warren Commission failed to investigate adequately the possibility of a conspiracy to assassinate the President. This deficiency was attributable in part to the failure of the Commission to receive all the relevant information that was in the possession of other agencies and departments of the Government.

(c) The Warren Commission arrived at its conclusions, based on the evidence available to it, in good faith.

(d) The Warren Commission presented the conclusions in its report in a fashion that was too definitive.

II. Findings of the Select Committee on Assassinations in the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.

Introduction: The civil rights movement and Dr. King

A history of civil rights violence

Equality in education—the 20th century objective

A new leader emerges

A philosophy of nonviolence

1960: The year of the sit-ins

1963: A year of triumph and despair

The road to Memphis

The last moments: Memphis, Tenn., April 4, 1968

A. James Earl Ray fired one shot at Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.

The shot killed Dr. King

(a) Biography of James Earl Ray

(b) The committee's investigation

1. Dr. King was killed by one shot fired from in front of him

2. The shot that killed Dr. King was fired from the bathroom window at the rear of a rooming house at 422½ South Main Street, Memphis, Tenn.

3. James Earl Ray purchased the rifle that was used to shoot Dr. King and transported it from Birmingham, Ala., to Memphis, Tenn., where he rented a room at 422½ South Main Street, and moments after the assassination, he dropped it near 424 South Main Street

4. It is highly probable that James Earl Ray stalked Dr. King for a period immediately preceding the assassination

5. James Earl Ray fled the scene of the crime immediately after the assassination

6. James Earl Ray's alibi for the time of the assassination, his story of "Raoul," and other allegedly exculpatory evidence are not worthy of belief

(a) Ray's alibi

(b) Ray's "Raoul" story

(1) Conflicting descriptions of Raoul

(2) Absence of witnesses to corroborate Raoul's existence

(c) Preassassination transactions

(1) The rifle purchase

(2) Fingerprints on the rifle

(3) Rental of room 5-B at Bessie Brewer's roominghouse

(4) The binocular purchase

(d) Grace Walden Stephens
II. Findings of the Select Committee on Assassinations.—Continued

A. James Earl Ray fired one shot at Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., The shot killed Dr. King—Continued

7. James Earl Ray knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily pleaded guilty to the first degree murder of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.----------------------------------------
   (a) Irreconcilable conflicts of interest of Foreman and Hanes.-----------------------------
   (b) Foreman’s failure to investigate the case.-----------------------------------------
   (c) Coercion by Foreman and the Federal Government.-------------------------------
   (d) Ray’s belief a guilty plea would not preclude a new trial.----------------------

B. The committee believes, on the basis of the circumstantial evidence available to it, that there is a likelihood that James Earl Ray assassinated Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. as a result of a conspiracy----------------------------------

1. The FBI investigation---------------------------------------------------------------
2. The committee investigation-------------------------------------------------------
   (a) Transactional analysis----------------------------------------------------------
   (b) Ray’s associates examined------------------------------------------------------
3. Investigation of Ray’s motive------------------------------------------------------
   (a) Ray’s racial attitudes examined-----------------------------------------------
   (b) Ego gratification as a motive--------------------------------------------------
   (c) The prospect of financial reward----------------------------------------------
   (d) Conclusion on motive-----------------------------------------------------------
4. General indications of conspiracy------------------------------------------------
   (a) Transactions as evidence of associations----------------------------------------
5. The brothers, John and Jerry Ray--------------------------------------------------
   (a) Evidence of Ray’s contact with his brothers, 1967-68--------------------------
   (b) Missouri State Penitentiary escape---------------------------------------------
   (c) The Alton bank robbery---------------------------------------------------------
      (1) Bank robbery modus operandi analysis-----------------------------------------
   (d) A brother was Raoul------------------------------------------------------------
   (e) The brothers and the rifle purchase-------------------------------------------
   (f) Motive with respect to John and Jerry Ray--------------------------------------
6. Evidence of a conspiracy in St. Louis----------------------------------------------
   (a) The Byers allegation-----------------------------------------------------------
   (b) The backgrounds of Kauffmann and Sutherland-------------------------------------
   (c) Connectives to James Earl Ray-----------------------------------------------
7. Conclusion------------------------------------------------------------------------

C. The committee believes, on the basis of the evidence available to it, that no private organizations or individuals, other than those discussed under section B, were involved in the assassination of Dr. King---------------------------------------------
II. Findings of the Select Committee on Assassinations—Continued

C. The committee believes, etc.—Continued

4. Conspiracy allegations: Atlanta
   (a) Edna Matthews Lancaster
   (b) Claude and Leon Powell
   (c) Robert Byron Watson

5. Conspiracy allegations: Birmingham
   (a) Morris Davis
   (b) Walter Maddox

6. Conspiracy allegations: Louisville
   (a) Clifton Baird
   (b) Charles Lee Bell

7. Conspiracy allegations: St. Louis
   (a) Delano Elmer Walker

8. Conspiracy allegations: Miami
   (a) William Somersett

9. Conspiracy allegations: Texas
   (a) Otis Moore

    (a) Myron Billett

D. No Federal, State or local government agency was involved in the assassination of Dr. King

1. The Federal Bureau of Investigation
   (a) The Lorraine Motel issue
   (b) The inciting of violence by informants issue
   (c) The FBI foreknowledge issue
   (d) The FBI assistance for Ray issue
   (e) FBI surveillance files in the National Archives

2. Memphis Police Department
   (a) Withdrawal of the security detail
   (b) The removal of Detective Redditt
   (c) The transfer of two Black firemen
   (d) The postassassination performance of the Memphis police

3. Missouri State Penitentiary

E. The Department of Justice and the Federal Bureau of Investigation performed with varying degrees of competency and legality in the fulfillment of their duties

1. The Department of Justice failed to supervise adequately the Domestic Intelligence Division of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. In addition, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, in the Domestic Intelligence Division’s COINTELPRO campaign against Dr. King, grossly abused and exceeded its legal authority and failed to consider the possibility that actions threatening bodily harm to Dr. King might be encouraged by the program
   (a) Security investigation and COINTELPRO
     (1) Hoover’s dislike for Dr. King
     (2) Electronic surveillance of Dr. King
     (3) Manipulation of the media
     (4) Analysis of the impact of the FBI-inspired editorial

2. The Department of Justice and the Federal Bureau of Investigation performed a thorough investigation into the responsibility of James Earl Ray for the assassination of Dr. King, and conducted a thorough fugitive investigation, but failed to investigate adequately the possibility of conspiracy in the assassination. The Federal Bureau of Investigation manifested a lack of concern for constitutional rights in the manner in which it conducted parts of the investigation
   (a) The FBI chain of command
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   E. The Department of Justice, etc.—Continued
      2. The Department of Justice, etc.—Continued
         (b) The fugitive investigation
            (1) James Earl Ray identified
            (2) Surveillance of Ray family considered
            (3) Ray arrested in London
         (c) The conspiracy investigation
            (1) The method
            (2) The focus
         (d) Investigative excesses
         (e) Conclusion
   III. Recommendations of the Select Committee on Assassinations
      A. Legislative recommendations on issues involving the prohibition, prevention and prosecution of assassinations and federally cognizable homicides
         (a) Prohibition and prevention
         (b) Prosecution
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      C. General recommendations for congressional investigations
      D. Recommendations for further investigation
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